ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

Construction of Personalized Guidance Model in University Students' Career Planning Education

Xiang Hu *

Chengdu Technological University, Chengdu, China

* Corresponding author

Abstract

With the quick changes in the world's job market and more and more complex ways people make their careers, universities need to change how they teach students about planning for their working lives. A traditional generalized guidance model cannot cater for the different psychological characteristics and individual specialist interests of different students and personal skill deficiency which results in less than satisfactory engagement from students, generic results and poor career preparation for students. This paper fills this gap by giving an account and description of a novel Personalized Guidance Model (PGM) for university students' career planning. PGM follows the principle of developmental and vocational theory, using today's data/analytics/Machine Learning to move away from one size fits all to granular/needs based. The model consists of three major parts: comprehensive multi-dimensional evaluation, differentiated intervention plan formulation, and dynamic feedback and iterative adjustment. PGMs use strong digital portfolios and fancy measuring tools to match career advice, workshops, and helping mentors exactly with how each student's brain is made. This makes students believe more in themselves and choose better things for their future. It's to boost the practical effect of career services, encouraging more thorough student involvement so as to improve the satisfaction rate and long-term success of graduates' careers significantly after they leave school to enhance the return on investment from higher education career improvement resources. To provide an educational administrator who wants to modernize its Career Planning curricula in order to prepare students for the workforce belonging to the 21st-century with a theoretical foundation and practical plan.

Keywords

Personalized Guidance Model; Career Planning Education; University Students; Vocational Theory; Data Analytics; Higher Education; Career Readiness; Differentiated Intervention.

1. Introduction

When it comes to the modern university, it's not just about developing intellect—students need to be ready for a VUCA (volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous) labor market. Therefore, career planning education has evolved from a supplementary service into an essential component of the higher education mandate. But there is still a huge gap between how many students there are compared to how personal it can be when it comes to exploring and making decisions about careers [1]. Existing university career guidance models rely mainly on mass lectures, generalized workshops, and general advice, which inherently disregards the significant individual differences among students' psychological traits, socio-economic status, scholastic achievements, and career ambitions. This standard delivery will only foster superficial engagement, general outcome achievement, and fail to cultivate a profound career

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

self-efficacy with students [2]. It's clear we need to shift because our students are less satisfied with career services, and we've documented that many of our new graduates struggle to translate their success in academia into successful job placement in a targeted field. The argument of this paper is that future university career effectiveness depends on moving past these industrial age models and towards personalized ones where individual students' careers are viewed as unique developmental pathways In the PGM model introduced here, a method utilizing data and systematicness in making personalized career pathways is used, together with on-going assessment and customized educational activities [3]. The introduction of this model is more than an improvement it is a necessity for increasing the quality of career educating to allow the institutions resources to be put into the most effective means of preparing students for the best ready to respond to professional opportunities. This is essential in order to improve the institution's reputation and student's success in a competitive world market.

2. Theoretical Foundation of Personalized Career Guidance

The Personalized Guidance Model (PGM), on the other hand, is built on traditional vocational theories such as Super's life-span life-space theory and Krumboltz's social learning theory of career decision-making, as well as on recent ideas from educational psychology, such as self - regulated learning, differentiated instruction and so on. super emphasized that career development is a lifelong process and university intervention can only focus on exploration and establishment phase, thus the guidance should adapt to the change of individual's selfconcept, interest and ability [4]. Crucially, the PGM recognizes that "self-concept" isn't fixed; personalized guidance allows students to try out and fine-tune their occupational selves by going on targeted experiences in the world. In the same way, Krumboltz's theory also stresses on the crucial part which is played by the genetical inheritance, environment, learning, and approach skill at the time of taking up a task. A personalized model knows there are different experiences students bring to it, like internships, clubs etc. those experiences may affect how students are ready and what they like [5]. Therefore the PGM requires a first, very granular and detailed capture of information of these variables, so as to correctly map them, making the following advice and actions relevant for what was the particular interaction and stage of development of the individual. Furthermore it relies greatly upon differentiated instruction, another pedagogical approach more traditionally known as being used in classrooms which is now being applied to the career context (the "what", "how" and "how we assess") of career education must be adjusted for the individual's readiness, interest and learning profile to maximize each guidance exchange's efficiency and effectiveness. And this strong theoretic foundation ensures PGM to be sound in mental aspect and effective in teaching, so it can bring real value to your career.

3. Challenges in Current University Career Planning Education

The current state of university career planning education is characterized by a number of major systemic and teaching challenges that mostly arise due to it being generalized and batch - processed education. One major problem is that there are too many students and teachers, making it impossible to have detailed, one-on-one consultations for most of the students, so we often have to rely on big group seminars for collective consultation, which means no matter how well-designed they are, they can't make every student feel understood [6]. These big spaces tend to focus on regular topics like writing resumes and doing interviews instead of knowing special stuff about jobs in industries, searching for work in certain parts of the economy, or understanding all the little differences between different types of jobs that lots of students like. Additionally, with a lack of a standardized long-term data collection channel for

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

student careers (self-evaluation, class attendance, internship evaluations, evolution of career goals, etc.) being scattered in different places or not recorded at all (worse case scenario). This kind of fragmentation makes it hard for teachers/administrators to see how well students are doing on average, track their progress over time, spot big problems with the way the whole curriculum is laid out, or guess which things might work best when helping different kinds of students [7]. The general delivery model creates a perception among students that career services are only for people who are 100% lost, or are already 100% good at something that you might have never heard of; alienating many that need career services that can be mod erate and tailored to their own experiences. To show the variety of student needs that a one -size-fits-all approach misses out on, Table 1 gives us an idea of how much the students belonging to different cohorts like different things as well as how ready they feel they are, showing that we need to be differentiated.

Table 1. Divergent Career Guidance Needs Across University Student Cohorts

Student Cohort	Primary Guidance Need	Preferred Delivery Method	Perceived Career Preparedness (1-5 Scale)
First-Year	Career Exploration & Major Alignment	Digital Modules & Peer Mentorship	2.5 (Low)
Mid-Degree (Sophomore /Junior)	Industry-Specific Networking & Internship Search	Specialized Workshops & Individual Coaching	3.8 (Moderate)
Final-Year/Graduate	Job Interview Tactics & Salary Negotiation	Mock Interviews & Resume Review	4.2 (High)
Transfer Students	Institutional Resource Navigation & Skill Gap Analysis	Small Group Consulting & Case Studies	3.0 (Moderate-Low)
Creative/Arts Majors	Portfolio Development & Freelance Business Skills	Practitioner-Led Seminars & Portfolio Reviews	3.5 (Moderate)

The table clearly shows a lack of one set of monolithic career education curriculum can work for this diverse population, which need totally different type of contents and formats. Different people also has varying perceived skills, which provide evidence that PGM might be a structural solution to some perennial career planning problems at university.

4. Components of the Personalized Guidance Model (PGM)

The Personalized Guidance Model (PGM) is organized around three interconnected, sequent ial, and continuously interacting elements: Personalized evaluation and profile building Differentiated intervention planning and dynamic feedback(iteration) Starting o ut as the Personalized Assessment and Profile Generation section, it goes well beyond the typical vocational interest inventories. Phase: Collection, analysis of a comprehensive dataset that includes: for all students psychometrics (personality, work value s, intrinsic), performance data (grades, grades, classwork, homework, exams, etc.), a student record (extra curricular records, clubs and organizations, activities participation), previous work experience information and student career self-report [8]. Using advanced analytics to synthesize into a detailed, multi-dimentional Student Career Profile (SCP) that serves as the foundation for all other guidance activities and allowing us to classify students not just by major but also by their preparedness, type of support needed, and best style for learning about careers. The PGM moves on from its profile after that into the Differentiated Intervention Mapping stage where the SCP algorithmically sorts the students into different tailored learning paths. This mapping is to see

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

if they need a student that needs foundational skills, like self-awareness training; they need targeted experiential learning like industry mentorship; or they need more advanced job search training, like how to prep for an interview with an executive. Intelligence of the interventions are taken from a library of modular intervention. So that each student can get exactly what he/she needs for his/her gap filling.

5. Designing Personalized Career Assessment Mechanisms

The efficacy of the Personalized Guidance Model relies extremely on the first phase of assessment, which should be much more thorough and complex compared to traditional single test models. Personalized Career Assessment Mechanisms (PCAMs) are designed to obtain a big picture of the student through the collection of data across four key dimensions: Vocational Interests (such as using the Strong Interest inventory), Personality Traits (like using the Big Five Model), Work Values (e.g., significance of achievement, autonomy, altruism), and Proficiency of Transferable Skills (e. g., communication, critical thinking, leadership). But most importantly, they are using different data sources such as looking at how involved they are with the student learning management systems or how involved are students in certain academic projects or how much time do students put into extracurricular activities [9]. They're going to use this kind of behavior as an indicator of the amount of motivation and grit they have. The outcome is not just a number but a Student Career Profile (SCP) that points out the places with great matching areas (where interests, values, and skills intersect), and spots with crucial mismatching or missing skill areas, which becomes the exact target of the intervention stage. Instead of info on general tech trends And, PCAMs also contain a temporal element that calls for mandatory reassessments at key academic milestones (end of freshman & junior year) so that the PCAMS (and its associated data system) can track the unfolding & solidification of students' career identity and adjust guidance on the fly as they experience it. To carry out such an extensive evaluation, it would need to be done with a high tech digital platform which could compile data from all the different psychometric assessments and the institution's own databases into one single unified dashboard for advisers. In Table 2, we make a conceptual comparison of how much data coverage is provided by traditional versus personalized assessments to make the point that data needs to be collected from every aspect.

Table 2. Comparison of Traditional and Personalized Career Assessment Data Coverage

Assessment Model Type	Key Data Variables Captured	Scope of Guidance Output	Predictive Power (Low/Moderate/High)
Traditional (e.g., Holland Codes Only)	Interests (RIASEC), Occupational Titles	Broad Job Clusters	Moderate
Personalized (PGM - PCAMs)	Interests, Personality, Work Values, Academic Performance, Behavioral Engagement, Skill Gaps	Individualized Pathway, Targeted Intervention Modules, Mentor Matching	High

The strong and data heavy approach to assessment as seen in table 2 means that the following guidance is underpinned by actual information about the students internal profile in addition to external actions and moves the guidance from a process of guessing to an accurate targeted development process thus it makes sense that the effort and resources required to put the PCAMD are required.

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

6. Implementing Differentiated Career Intervention Strategies

The next important activity in the PGM after the generation of the SCP with the help of PCAMs is the implementation of the differentiated career intervention strategies. This part is a functionally central part of the personalized model. This phase involves matching up the gaps and goals that are found during the SCP to a library of resources about careers, then creating a specific curriculum for each student. It is differentiated based on three major areas, content, and process, product Content differentiation refers to directing students to workshops or materials which are pertinent to their individual requirements. To give you an idea, a student seeking to hone their interview skills could get a string of AI-powered mock interviews. At the same time, if a student is unsure of his future, he can be advised to participate in an interview with an expert in the relevant field conducted through the platform. Process differentiation recognizes that different students do well with some methods more than others: some with a one-on-one advisor, others in a small group of case studies, and yet others in a self paced digital simulation. PGM makes use of information regarding students' learning styles to suggest the most suitable format for taking in career content. Product differentiation implies setting up personal targets and success measures [10]. This phase also makes much use of technology, using a recommendation engine to suggest individual resources. They also come from paired mentorships with industry experts from an alumnus' network as well as virtual realities simulations related to a field. They can also have access to selected micro-learning courses that can be done anytime. With this focus, we use the resources from the institution in a very efficient way because only the most important interventions that will make an impact are performed. It solves for the frequently occurring issue of resource dilution within generalized models. To prove the clear association among student profile and student intervention, Table 3 shows the well-organized chart displaying typical students' profiles to the best intervention strategy, showcasing the model's logical and organized way.

Table 3. Mapping Student Profiles to Differentiated Intervention Strategies

Student Profile Type (Based on SCP)	Identified Need/Gap	Recommended Intervention Strategy	Core Delivery Format
The Dreamer (High Interest, Low Practical Skill)	Translating interest into concrete skill development	Project-Based Learning Modules with Industry Partners	Small Group Consulting & Experiential Learning
The Technician (High Skill, Low Self-Awareness/Values)	Alignment of work with personal values and meaning	Career Storytelling Workshops & Reflective Advising	One-on-One Counseling & Digital Journaling
The Uncommitted (Low Interest, Moderate Skill)	Broad career exposure and decision-making confidence	Sector Rotational Shadowing Program	Virtual Reality Tours & Informational Interviews
The Competitor (High Skill, High Interest, Weak Networking)	Strategic relationship building and professional presence	Executive Mentorship Program & Advanced Negotiation Seminars	Peer Masterminds & Executive Coaching

In the creation of distinct educational pathways based on what is provided within Table 3, we guarantee that the career development of every single student will be a completely unique and extremely productive learning experience. This results in higher percentages of successfully achieved goals when compared to vague methods of intervention that fail to address the specific needs of individual students.

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

7. Establishing a Dynamic Feedback and Iteration System

And again, but last for our content is to ensure that the Personalized Guidance Model PGM has a long-term life and continues improving over time, the last piece of the puzzle is to have a Dynamic Feedback and Iteration System called DFIS. Unlike previous models that only collected data after graduation, the DFIS gathers data based on current career information on each stage of graduates: The system is like an unending loop that records information from outcomes after intervention, from student satisfaction surveys, notes from talks with the advisor, and changes made to the digital portfolio containing the reflective journal, updated resume, and performances from internships. To illustrate, if a certain class of students assigned to a 'Job Search Strategy' module keep voicing low self-efficacy after every mock interviews, the DFIS would spot the pattern, single out the module's content or delivery system as less than great and then automatically ask for a revision from the curriculum design team. And the system is tracking their like their students' career maturity along their timeline like how clear is the goal students have, they're ready to commit on a major or a career, do they get better at certain domain specific soft skills? This data gets used to make big changes to the overall curriculum, as well as put right back into the individual Student Career Profile, which makes the system recalibrate the student's leftover personalized intervention sequence. The iterative process comes from machine learning algorithms trying all the time to make better how profile things match up to good jobs, so that the PGM always stays alive and learns from each step, getting better and better at matching people with careers as industries keep changing. Table 4. Core metrics the DFIS tracks towards its success and improving the PGM.

Table 4. Dynamic Feedback and Iteration System (DFIS) Success Metrics

Success Metric Category	Specific Metrics Tracked	Data Source	Iteration Trigger Example
Student Development	Goal Clarity Score, Self-Efficacy Index Change, Domain-Specific Skill Score Increase	PCAM Re-assessments, Digital Portfolio Reflection	10% drop in Self-Efficacy post-workshop attendance.
Program Efficacy	Resource Utilization Rate, Intervention Completion Rate, Match Quality Score (Student-Mentor/Internship)	PGM Platform Logs, Advisor Feedback	Low completion rate for a specific asynchronous module.
Career Outcomes	Time-to-Placement (Post-Graduation), Alignment of First Job with SCP, Alumni Satisfaction Score	Institutional Alumni Tracking Database, Graduate Surveys	Low alignment of graduate job with stated career profile.

The DFIS creates the systematic measurement and feedback cycle seen in Table 4 that keeps the PGM from going obsolete, makes sure the PGM has high levels of personalized accuracy as it constantly checks to see if there is an ROI for the intervention by linking how much effort is put into the intervention with positive outcomes that can be measured directly by students.

8. Conclusion

The development of the Personalized Guidance Model (PGM) is an important and necessary step in university education for career planning. It marks a transition from a generalized support service to a strategic educational investment, which can be quantified with data. PGM's architecture, made up by multi dimension assessment, differential intervention and dynamical feedback system which is a key part of multi-dimensional and differentiated model, can directly

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

solve the defects of previous one size fits all model, that was proved unqualified to the variety need of modern students. Utilizing PCAMs to generate all-comprehensive SCPs, the model guarantees that from personalized career assessment mechanisms and specialized workshops as seen in table 3 (from executive mentorship as discussed in the tables) to all other resources, the model aligns every resource with the student's unique psychological, academic and experiential data as detailed in table 2. With the constant flow of improvements supplied by the Dynamic Feedback and Iteration System (DFIS) and its strict tracking of metrics through student development and outcome efficacy as shown in Table 4, the career curriculum can stay nimble, contemporary and reactive to the growing student and market environments. To successfully implement this PGM, there would be significant institution commitment to technology infrastructure and advisor retraining. The benefits are higher student satisfaction, increased self-efficacy for career goals, and better post-graduation job prospects, all of which are much more desirable than the cost to implement. This model is not simply administrative, but a pedagogical paradigm, where students are again the center in a customized developmental journey. Future studies could focus on improving scalability of machine learning models for more precise matchings of profiles to interventions and examine alumni career resilience and job satisfaction ten years post-graduation for those who participated in a program which may provide additional data to this large change to higher education Career Services. The Personalized Guidance Model is thus presented as more than a recommendation; it is the only future for personalized career education that will actually work in the 21st century.

References

[1] Wang, Y. D., Yang, C., & Lou, X. (2025). Exploration on the practical paths of career planning education to solve college students' employment difficulties. Henan Education (Higher Education Edition), (11), 61-62.

[2] Guo, T. M. (2025, October 14). Exploration on the paths of college counselors carrying out career planning education for college students. Yan'an Daily, (004).

[3] Zhou, F. (2024). Exploration on the transformation strategy of college students' employment guidance from the perspective of lifelong development. Henan Education (Higher Education Edition), (03), 58-59.

[4] Huang, C. W., Yu, Y. P., & Zhang, N. (2024). Reflections on carrying out personalized career planning guidance for college students from the perspective of counselors' work. Sichuan Labor Security, (11), 108-109.

[5] Zhu, S. B. (2021). Personalized employment guidance for college students based on career planning. Heilongjiang Human Resources and Social Security, (20), 151-153.

[6] Zhou, Y. S. (2024). Research on the current situation and countermeasures of college counselors leading career planning education for college students. Journal of Liaoning Provincial College of Communications, 26(05), 44-48.

[7] Yu, P. (2025). Analysis on the optimization path of career planning education in application-oriented universities in the digital intelligence era. Administrative Science Forum, 12(03), 31-36.

[8] Chen, Z. W. (2023). Analysis on college career planning education in the era of artificial intelligence. Education Observation, 12(35), 117-120.

[9] Lin, Y., & Guan, T. (2019). Exploration on the practical mode of personalized guidance in college talent training. Knowledge Library, (21), 192+195.

ISSN: 2637-6067 DOI: 10.6918/IJOSSER.202602_9(2).0004

[10] Fu, Y. H. (2015). The mechanism of action of career self-efficacy and its impact on career development of college students in the career preparation period (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Jilin University.