

# Teaching Reform of Graduate Game Theory to Cultivate Independent Thinking and Complex Decision-Making in the Digital Age

Xianglan Jiang\*, Yi Zhang, Yuanchun Yu

Management School, Sichuan University of Science & Engineering, Zigong, 643000, China

\*Corresponding email: xianglanjiang@163.com

## Abstract

**This study proposes a digitally driven framework for graduate-level game theory teaching to cultivate higher-order competencies in the digital era. By integrating big data, AI agents, and simulation technologies, the reform shifts the pedagogical focus from mechanical equilibrium computation to strategic reasoning and complex decision-making. The proposed model reconstructs teaching through three dimensions: dynamic industry-oriented case repositories, human-AI strategic confrontation, and network-based evolutionary simulation environments. Through scenario-based practices, algorithmic auditing, and iterative decision simulations, the framework fosters independent thinking and cognitive autonomy. Furthermore, it introduces a trajectory-oriented evaluation system and reconceptualizes instructors as learning environment designers. This human-machine collaborative approach equips students to navigate uncertain strategic environments through reflective engagement with technological tools, providing both theoretical and practical guidance for advancing game theory education.**

## Keywords

**Graduate Education; Game Theory; Independent Thinking; Digital Empowerment; Complex Decision-Making.**

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Paradigm Shift in Graduate Competency Development in the Digital Era

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has fundamentally reshaped the environment of knowledge production and decision-making. In this context, the objectives of graduate education are undergoing a profound transformation (Peng & Meng, 2025) [8]. Traditional training models, which emphasize the accumulation of disciplinary knowledge and the mastery of analytical techniques, are increasingly insufficient for preparing students to address complex real-world problems. Instead, graduate education is gradually shifting toward the cultivation of higher-order competencies that enable students to reason independently, evaluate strategic options, and make informed decisions under uncertainty (Li et al., 2024) [9].

In the field of game theory, this transformation is particularly evident. Conventional teaching practices have long focused on formal modeling, equilibrium derivation, and analytical solutions (Zhang, 2012) [1]. While these skills remain important, the growing availability of computational tools means that many standard equilibrium calculations can now be completed efficiently and accurately without extensive human intervention (Wang et al., 2025) [13]. As a result, the educational value of repeatedly training students to perform routine calculations has diminished. What distinguishes advanced learners today is not their ability to reproduce

equilibrium results, but their capacity to interpret strategic situations, question underlying assumptions, and adapt decision-making logic to changing environments.

From this perspective, complex decision-making emerges as a core competency in the digital era. Complex decision-making goes beyond selecting an optimal strategy in a well-defined model; it involves identifying relevant actors, recognizing information asymmetry, weighing competing objectives, and anticipating dynamic responses. These tasks require independent thinking, critical judgment, and reflective reasoning—abilities that cannot be directly replaced by technical tools. For graduate students, especially those in economics and management disciplines, the ability to engage in such strategic reasoning has become a key source of academic and professional competitiveness.

Therefore, the paradigm of graduate education must move beyond knowledge transmission toward the systematic development of independent thinking and complex decision-making skills. This shift calls for a re-examination of how game theory is taught at the graduate level, including the selection of teaching content, the design of learning activities, and the evaluation of learning outcomes. Recognizing this paradigm shift provides the foundation for the teaching reform discussed in this study.

## 1.2. Practical Challenges and Tensions in Graduate Game Theory Teaching

Despite its central role in cultivating strategic reasoning, graduate-level game theory teaching faces a number of persistent challenges. These are not merely technical in nature, but reflect deeper tensions in how the purpose of the course is understood. Previous studies have explored various instructional adjustments, such as transitioning toward heuristic and research-oriented models to enhance student engagement (Xiang, 2018 [5]; Zhao & Mei, 2019 [3]). Furthermore, some reforms have attempted to bridge the gap between foundational theory and practical application by tailoring content for specific disciplines like management and general education (Li & Zhou, 2016 [7]; Zhang & Geng, 2019 [10]).

First, current teaching practices tend to place excessive emphasis on mathematical derivation while giving insufficient attention to critical reasoning. As noted in recent evaluations, an overreliance on mathematical rigor risks reducing game theory to a purely technical exercise, leaving students proficient in derivation but disconnected from the strategic logic underlying broader social contexts (Wang & Li, 2016) [6]. This imbalance weakens the role of game theory as a tool for analytical thinking.

Second, instructional scenarios remain predominantly closed and static, often based on simplified assumptions like complete information and stable environments. These settings provide limited exposure to the dynamic and information-asymmetric conditions of real-world decision-making. Consequently, students struggle to transfer theoretical knowledge to practical situations involving multiple stakeholders with conflicting objectives, highlighting the urgent need for case-based methods to bridge the gap between abstract models and reality (Jian, 2021) [4].

Beyond these observable difficulties lies a more fundamental tension in graduate game theory education: the tension between instrumental rationality and decision rationality. In practice, teaching frequently prioritizes instrumental rationality, focusing on the use of formal tools to obtain equilibrium outcomes. Success is often measured by whether students can correctly apply models and compute solutions. However, decision rationality emphasizes a different capability: the ability to frame problems, assess assumptions, interpret strategic environments, and make reasoned judgments under complexity and uncertainty.

When teaching is dominated by instrumental rationality, students may come to view game theory as a collection of techniques rather than a way of thinking. They learn how to calculate a Nash equilibrium, but not when, why, or whether such a solution is meaningful in a given context. This disconnect becomes increasingly problematic in the digital era, where

computational tools can easily replicate technical calculations, while strategic judgment remains a distinctly human capability.

Therefore, the core challenge facing graduate game theory teaching is not the absence of advanced tools, but the misalignment between teaching content and the competencies required for complex decision-making. Addressing this challenge requires a pedagogical shift from teaching game theory primarily as a technical instrument toward teaching it as a framework for rational decision-making in complex and evolving environments. This tension between instrumental and decision rationality forms the central problem that motivates the teaching reform explored in this study.

### **1.3. Research Objectives and Human-Machine Collaborative Model Significance**

The primary objective of this study is to develop a digitally driven framework for restructuring graduate-level game theory teaching. Specifically, the research seeks to design a pedagogical model that integrates advanced computational tools with traditional teaching, enabling a seamless collaboration between human strategic reasoning and machine-assisted calculation (Ji, 2023) [2]. By leveraging digital intelligence, this model aims to move beyond conventional instruction focused on equilibrium computation, and instead cultivate higher-order competencies in independent thinking, strategic evaluation, and complex decision-making (Lan et al., 2024) [12].

This study carries both theoretical and practical significance. First, it proposes a paradigm shift in graduate game theory education, highlighting the transition from rote problem-solving toward strategic reasoning in a digitally augmented learning environment. Second, the integration of human-machine collaboration offers students the opportunity to develop robust independent judgment within AI-assisted decision-making contexts. By emphasizing the interpretation of algorithmic outputs, scenario analysis, and adaptive strategy formulation, the proposed teaching model fosters the critical thinking, reflective reasoning, and problem-framing skills essential for addressing complex, real-world strategic challenges.

Ultimately, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on digital-age pedagogy by demonstrating how computational tools can enhance rather than replace human cognitive capabilities. The model serves as a blueprint for reforming game theory instruction, promoting a shift from teaching "calculation" to teaching "strategic reasoning," and preparing graduates to thrive in dynamic, data-rich, and AI-augmented environments.

## **2. Mechanisms of Human-AI Empowerment in Game Theory Education**

### **2.1. Digital Reconstruction of Complex Strategic Environments**

The integration of digital intelligence—specifically big data, AI agents, and simulation technologies—serves as a catalyst for overcoming the inherent limitations of traditional game theory pedagogy. Conventional teaching often relies on idealized, simplified scenarios that struggle to capture the stochastic nature of real-world interactions. By leveraging big data, educators can now introduce empirical samples from markets characterized by incomplete information, effectively challenging the classical assumption of perfect information (Zhang, 2012 [1]). This approach allows students to confront genuine uncertainty and variability, moving beyond the "Internet+" teaching paradigms that initially sought to digitize curriculum resources (Ji, 2023 [2]).

Furthermore, the deployment of AI agents allows for the simulation of opponents with heterogeneous preferences and bounded rationality. This captures the "human" element often missing from purely mathematical models, enabling students to observe how diverse behavioral patterns influence equilibrium outcomes. When combined with high-fidelity simulation technology, static equilibrium exercises are transformed into dynamic, continuous

processes (Wang et al., 2025 [13]). This reconstructed environment provides an immersive learning experience where strategic decision-making is treated as an adaptive process within a complex system rather than a one-off calculation, consistent with recent shifts toward digital-intelligence integrated curricula.

## 2.2. The Spiral Model of Human-AI Collaborative Learning

To cultivate independent thinking and complex decision-making, this study proposes a specialized development path grounded in the synergy between human cognition and AI-enabled experimentation. This mechanism operates through a three-stage spiral model designed to elevate student reasoning beyond algorithmic outputs, reflecting a new process of "thinking with artificial intelligence" (Chen, 2025 [14]).

Initially, students are plunged into Cognitive Conflict, where AI-suggested "optimal solutions" may clash with real-world constraints or ethical intuitions. This dissonance is a critical pedagogical trigger, necessitating independent reflection and the critical evaluation of automated logic. Subsequently, through Simulated Iteration, students utilize digital tools to perform "what-if" analyses, a method proven effective in virtual simulation experiments for collaborative decision-making (Peng et al., 2022 [11]). This stage allows for the testing of alternative decision logics within an adaptive system, fostering situational reasoning and strategic experimentation.

The final stage, Reflective Adjustment, involves a deep dive into human-AI interactions to identify cognitive biases and reconcile inconsistencies. By reviewing these iterative cycles, students refine their strategic approaches and strengthen their higher-order rationality. This transition from mechanical calculation to adaptive judgment establishes a solid foundation for a Human-AI collaborative pedagogy, ensuring that students develop the resilience and precision required for decision-making in increasingly uncertain environments.

## 3. Three-Dimensional Teaching Reconstruction under Digital Empowerment

Building on the capability transformation discussed in the previous chapters, this chapter proposes a three-dimensional teaching reconstruction strategy for graduate-level Game Theory courses under digitally empowered environments. The reform aims to realign teaching content, learning interaction, and experimental settings with the goal of cultivating independent thinking and complex decision-making abilities. Rather than introducing digital tools in a fragmented manner, the proposed strategy restructures the instructional system across three interrelated dimensions: teaching resources, learning interaction, and experimental environments.

### 3.1. Dynamic Reconstruction of Industry-Oriented Case Repositories

Traditional game theory teaching relies heavily on classical textbook cases, which are often abstract, static, and detached from contemporary economic realities. While these cases are valuable for illustrating foundational concepts, their explanatory power is limited when students are expected to analyze complex, evolving strategic interactions in real-world contexts. To address this limitation, this study proposes the reconstruction of teaching resources through the development of a real-time and dynamic industry-oriented game case repository. Instead of depending on pre-designed canonical examples, digital tools are used to continuously collect and organize strategic interaction scenarios drawn from current industrial practices, such as platform competition, supply chain coordination, pricing wars, and regulatory games. These cases typically involve multiple decision-makers, incomplete information, and shifting constraints, thereby providing a richer strategic context for analysis.

By engaging with such dynamically updated cases, students are encouraged to move beyond mechanically applying established solution techniques. They must identify key players, clarify information structures, and determine which theoretical frameworks are appropriate for each situation. This resource reconstruction transforms game theory from a closed set of stylized problems into an open analytical lens for interpreting real economic phenomena, thereby strengthening students' contextual understanding and strategic awareness.

### **3.2. Strategic Interaction via Human-AI Confrontation**

In conventional classrooms, interaction is largely limited to instructor-led explanation and student problem-solving, with limited opportunities for authentic strategic engagement. Such interaction patterns insufficiently reflect the uncertainty, rivalry, and feedback mechanisms inherent in real strategic decision-making.

This study introduces an interaction reconstruction strategy centered on human-machine confrontation under asymmetric information. In this design, AI agents are employed not as instructors or solution providers, but as strategic counterparts that simulate competitors with heterogeneous preferences, bounded rationality, and adaptive behavior. Students are required to make decisions while facing uncertainty about opponents' intentions, information sets, and future actions.

Through repeated interactions with AI agents, students experience the consequences of their strategic choices in a controlled yet uncertain environment. Importantly, success is not evaluated solely by equilibrium accuracy, but by the quality of strategic reasoning, the ability to revise beliefs, and the consistency of decision logic across different scenarios. This interaction format shifts learning from passive reception to active strategic engagement, fostering students' capacity to reason independently in complex and adversarial settings.

### **3.3. Network-Based Evolutionary Game Simulation Environments**

While individual decision-making is essential, many strategic problems in economics and management emerge from collective dynamics rather than isolated interactions. Traditional classroom settings, however, rarely allow students to observe how local decisions aggregate into system-level outcomes.

To overcome this limitation, this study proposes the construction of an evolutionary game simulation laboratory grounded in complex network structures. In this virtual environment, large numbers of agents interact repeatedly under varying network configurations, enabling the observation of strategy diffusion, cooperation emergence, and equilibrium instability over time. Students can manipulate initial conditions, payoff structures, and information flows to examine how micro-level decisions generate macro-level patterns.

By observing these evolutionary processes, students develop a deeper understanding of the relationship between individual rationality and collective outcomes. This environment reconstruction cultivates system-level thinking, helping students recognize that rational decisions at the local level may produce unintended consequences at the aggregate level. Such insight is crucial for developing advanced decision rationality in complex, interconnected systems.

Through the coordinated reconstruction of teaching resources, interaction modes, and experimental environments, the proposed three-dimensional teaching strategy establishes an integrated framework for digitally empowered game theory education. This approach shifts the instructional focus from static computation to strategic reasoning, from isolated decision-making to system-level analysis, and from tool usage to judgment formation. As a result, graduate students are better equipped to navigate complex decision environments and to exercise independent strategic thinking in digitally augmented contexts.

## 4. Implementation Pathways and Case-Based Teaching Practices

This chapter translates the human-machine collaborative framework into concrete instructional practices. Through the integration of strategic scenarios and digitally supported decision experiments, it demonstrates the cultivation of independent thinking and complex decision-making capabilities within graduate-level game theory education.

### 4.1. Strategic Scenario Design for Realistic Game Contexts

To overcome the limitations of abstract models, this study selects real-world strategic scenarios characterized by high uncertainty and multi-stakeholder dynamics. Representative cases include cross-border supply chain coordination and policy-oriented games centered on carbon neutrality and environmental regulation.

These scenarios are defined by several critical factors. The presence of multiple decision-makers with heterogeneous objectives renders unilateral optimization ineffective, necessitating a more nuanced strategic approach. Furthermore, these environments operate under incomplete and asymmetric information, reflecting the realistic constraints faced by firms and global policymakers (Jian, 2021 [4]). Because the strategic landscape is dynamic, decisions unfold over multiple stages rather than converging to a static outcome. By embedding game-theoretic concepts within these contexts, students shift their focus from abstract equilibrium results to the underlying strategic structure of real-world problems, gaining a deeper understanding of how information conditions and institutional settings shape outcomes.

### 4.2. Cultivation of Independent Thinking through Algorithmic Auditing

A central objective of this reform is to develop independent judgment in AI-assisted decision environments. Digital tools are utilized to generate algorithmic solutions, including model-based recommendations and computationally derived strategies.

Rather than accepting these outputs as authoritative, students critically examine the conditions under which such solutions are produced. Through instructor-led facilitation, students identify potential "algorithmic traps," such as an overreliance on simplified assumptions or the neglect of institutional constraints in unstable environments (Lan et al., 2024 [12]). This process clarifies that algorithmic results are conditional outcomes shaped by specific model designs and data inputs rather than neutral truths. By questioning the strategic meaningfulness of an automated solution, students develop the ability to audit decision tools and maintain cognitive autonomy. Independent thinking thus emerges through reflective engagement with technology rather than in opposition to it (Chen, 2025 [14]).

### 4.3. Dynamic Decision Simulation and Iterative Strategic Exercises

To deepen the understanding of complex decision processes, the course incorporates digitally supported dynamic game simulations. Using a dedicated platform, students participate in multi-round strategic interactions, either competing against AI agents or engaging in mixed human-machine environments.

Throughout these simulations, participants must continuously adjust their strategies in response to evolving information, opponent behavior, and environmental feedback. The pedagogical emphasis rests not on achieving a single correct outcome, but on observing how strategies evolve and how local decisions can generate unintended systemic consequences (Peng et al., 2022 [11]). Each simulation cycle concludes with structured reflection sessions to review decision paths and outcome divergence. This iterative process allows students to connect theoretical reasoning with experiential learning, reinforcing their capacity to manage uncertainty and refine decision logic within complex systems.

The integration of realistic scenarios, critical engagement with algorithmic tools, and dynamic simulation provides a practical pathway for digitally empowered game theory instruction. This

approach moves beyond mechanical problem-solving to foster the development of higher-order strategic skills. By leveraging human-machine collaboration, this framework enhances the depth of graduate education in strategic analysis and prepares students for the complexities of the digital age.

## 5. Evaluation Framework, Critical Reflection, and Conclusions

### 5.1. Evaluation Framework for Decision-Making Trajectories

Traditional assessment in game theory education has predominantly focused on outcome-based indicators, such as the correctness of equilibrium solutions. While such metrics are useful, they fail to capture the underlying cognitive processes through which students arrive at decisions in complex environments. This study proposes a digitally enabled evaluation framework that shifts the emphasis from static results to the analysis of students' decision-making trajectories.

By leveraging digital platforms and AI-supported analytics, strategic choices and responses to feedback are continuously recorded and visualized. This allows instructors to examine how students formulate assumptions and learn from interactions with both human peers and AI agents. Rather than assessing whether a student reaches a predefined "optimal" solution, the framework emphasizes the coherence and adaptability of the decision logic over time, ensuring that assessment becomes an integral component of digitally empowered learning (Wang et al., 2025 [13]).

### 5.2. Pedagogical Challenges and Critical Reflections

Despite the pedagogical potential of digital intelligence, its integration into game theory teaching raises critical challenges, primarily the risk of technological instrumentalism. There is a concern that AI tools may be treated as authoritative decision-makers rather than cognitive supports, potentially weakening students' independent judgment.

To mitigate this risk, it is essential to reconceptualize the role of instructors as "learning environment designers." Instructors must focus on structuring human-AI interactions and guiding students to interrogate the limitations embedded in computational models. In this context, digital intelligence serves not as a substitute for human reasoning, but as a catalyst for developing the responsible and creative judgment necessary for the AI era (Lan et al., 2024 [12]).

### 5.3. Conclusions

This study explores the mechanisms of digital intelligence empowerment in graduate-level game theory education. By integrating big data, AI agents, and simulation technologies, it proposes a systematic framework that shifts the focus from mechanical calculation to the cultivation of strategic reasoning and independent judgment.

The findings suggest that a trajectory-oriented evaluation system, combined with a human-AI collaborative design, can effectively enhance students' ability to navigate dynamic strategic environments. Ultimately, this research underscores that technological advancement must be accompanied by thoughtful instructional design and critical reflection, providing both theoretical support and practical guidance for game theory teaching reform.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the editors and anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments which have led to an improved version of this paper. This work was supported by Sichuan University of Science & Engineering Postgraduate Educational Reform Project (Nos. JG202409,

JG202407), and Sichuan University of Science & Engineering Professional Degree Graduate Training Program (YJGXM24-A020).

## References

- [1] Zhang, W. Y. (2012). *Game theory and information economics* (New 1st ed.). Truth & Wisdom Press.
- [2] Ji, C. Y. (2023). Research on the teaching reform of "Game Theory" under the background of "Internet+". *Journal of Science & Education*, 18, 114–116.
- [3] Zhao, Z. H., & Mei, L. (2019). Cultivating research competence in graduate course reform under "Double First-Class": A case study of "Game Theory" course. *Coal Higher Education*, 37(3), 121–126
- [4] Jian, X. Y. (2021). Application of case-based teaching methods in game theory courses. *Innovation and Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 4(14), 10–14.
- [5] Xiang, L. J. (2018). Heuristic teaching model research in finance-related game theory courses. *Journal of Inner Mongolia University of Finance and Economics*, 16(1), 123–125.
- [6] Wang, D., & Li, J. Y. (2016). Teaching reform of graduate-level foundational and applied game theory courses. *Modern Communication: Academic Edition*, 14, 253–254.
- [7] Li, Z., & Zhou, W. Z. (2016). Exploration of teaching reform in undergraduate management major "Game Theory" courses. *Education and Teaching Forum*, 3, 74–75.
- [8] Peng, Z. R., & Meng, S. W. (2025). Cultivation of higher education teaching and research reform projects from the perspective of graduate education. *China Multimedia and Network Teaching Journal*, 1, 57–60.
- [9] Li, B. C., Yan, T., & Fan, J. H. (2024). Teaching reform of public mathematics courses to enhance graduate innovation ability: A case study of Advanced Engineering Mathematics at Nanjing University of Science and Technology. *Journal of Science & Education*, 36, 59–61.
- [10] Zhang, C. Q., & Geng, Z. R. (2019). Teaching reform of game theory courses from the perspective of general education. *Business Economics*, 12, 184–186.
- [11] Peng, Q. Y., Li, L., & Wen, C. (2022). Virtual simulation experimental teaching reform for the cultivation of collaborative decision-making ability in rail transit. *Research in Higher Education of Engineering*, 4, 81–85.
- [12] Lan, G. S., Xiao, Q., & Song, F. (2024). Cultivating responsible and creative citizens in the AI era: Key points and reflections on UNESCO's "AI Competency Framework for Students". *Open Education Research*, 30(5), 17–26.
- [13] Wang, Z. L., Guan, X. D., & Luo, L. (2025). Digital-intelligence integrated curriculum: A new direction for "AI + Curriculum" teaching reform. *Open Education Research*, 31(1), 34–41.
- [14] Chen, X. S. (2025). Thinking with artificial intelligence: A new process of human learning. *Open Education Research*, 31(6), 21–28.