

AI for Campus Mental Health: From Implementation to Symbiotic Ecosystem

Wentao Mao, Hongqu Chen and Jing Tian*

School of Wuhan Business University, Wuhan 430000, China

* Corresponding author

Abstract

Due to the combined influence of multiple factors such as academic pressure and employment anxiety, mental health issues among college students have become increasingly prominent, and the demand for mental health services has risen accordingly. However, traditional mental health service models in higher education institutions—which primarily rely on in-person appointments, psychological screenings, and passive human intervention—are constrained by practical challenges such as time and location limitations, uneven resource allocation, and slow response times. As a result, these models are struggling to meet the personalized and immediate mental health needs of today's college students. Leveraging its unique strengths in data analysis and mental state monitoring, AI technology offers new insights for the transformation and upgrading of university mental health services. Currently, AI technology in this field is at a critical juncture, transitioning from exploration to practical application; however, core challenges such as insufficient implementation and an incomplete ecosystem are gradually emerging during the rollout process. This paper focuses on the precision of technology implementation and the synergy of ecosystem collaboration. It provides an in-depth analysis of the current challenges facing AI-enabled mental health services in higher education and proposes targeted solutions. The aim is to offer practical references and feasible strategies for mental health services, thereby facilitating the deep integration of AI technology with university mental health services and fostering ecosystem synergy.

Keywords

AI-enabled mental health services in higher education; technology implementation; ecosystem synergy.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, college students are faced with academic stress, employment worries and various other pressures. Academic stress, job worries, other pressures. Under those conditions, their mental health has grown more complicated and diverse. So campus services can't just use one-size-fits-all any more—they need to be personalized and fast. Traditional models, held back by limited resources and other limits, show a big demand-supply gap. AI steps in with data integration, real-time monitoring, and early warning precision. If AI fills what traditional services miss, it pushes universities toward smarter, more exact mental health support.

So why look into how AI-powered mental health services get rolled out and how a symbiotic ecosystem grows in higher education? Two reasons: theory and practice. For theory, symbiotic paths can strengthen the AI-in-education framework and speed up digital growth of university mental health services. For practice, offering solutions to tech deployment and ecosystem coordination can show universities how to upgrade service systems—and that builds a healthier overall ecosystem.

The research framework here comes from literature review and data analysis. Using relevant data plus a case from Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology, the study finds the core challenges that pop up when rolling out AI-enabled mental health services in higher education. Then it offers corresponding solutions to push symbiotic development of this ecosystem forward.

2. Literature Review

Digital tech now runs deep in learning. Against that, college students show clearer mental health issues, fueled by academic and job pressures [13]. Today's students, especially, want personalized, immediate mental health services more than ever [14]. Traditional campus in-person services have long been held back by limited resources and time-space limits, creating a lasting supply-demand gap [8]. AI, with its data integration and real-time monitoring strengths, has become a major path to upgrade higher education mental health services [1]. But AI roll-out has exposed problems: weak technical adaptation and poor ecosystem coordination [15]. Given those issues, AI-based services' full empowering potential stays limited [9]. A special Ministry of Education survey confirms how serious current college student mental health conditions are, plus the supply-demand gap [3]. A 41-university national survey finds AI mental health system use uneven across institution types, with stark investment-outcome mismatches [7]. National policies aside, AI application in university mental health stays patchy and uncoordinated [3]. General-purpose AI systems struggle to precisely match students' diverse and personalized service needs [9]. In practical implementation, university faculty and students generally face challenges such as insufficient AI application capabilities and low willingness to use such technologies [8]. Research suggests AI-enabled services don't replace human value. They balance efficiency gains with humanistic care [9]. A Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology case study has shown a "trinity ecosystem" works and has real value [5]. So far, studies have spotted core bottlenecks in AI tech implementation and built a basic framework for ecosystem symbiosis [8]. Nevertheless, existing studies still have room for exploration regarding pathways for technological implementation, detailed ecosystem symbiosis framework execution, Value-driven guidance in AI applications and privacy protection together point the way. Following this, the present study can push deeper into technological implementation precision and ecosystem symbiosis.

3. Practical Obstacles to Technology Implementation

Practical technology implementation is the starting point for AI-enabled mental health services in higher education. Concretely, that means integrating advanced AI into mental health systems. Today's college students face multiple pressures—academic, career, and interpersonal. Given those conditions, mental health problems occur at high rates, and student demands tilt toward personalization and immediacy. Traditional campus service models lean heavily on in-person interactions. But limited resources and geographic/time constraints hold them back. Hence a sizable supply-demand mismatch continues. AI does provide a new path for service transformation and upgrading. Yet it meets core challenges: weak implementation and an incomplete ecosystem. So the urgent issue becomes: how to achieve precise implementation and synergistic integration of AI-empowered mental health services in universities.

Table 1. College Student Mental Health: Screening Statistics and Key Drivers

Data Categories	Details	Value / Percentage
Overall Mental Health Status	National Mental Health Screening Pass Rate in Higher Education Institutions (2020–2024)	92%→88%
	Percentage of students experiencing psychological distress	12%
Issues specific to certain groups	Prevalence of Adjustment Disorders Among Freshmen	15%
	Prevalence of Psychological Issues Among Students from Low-Income Families	25%
Related to academic stress	Prevalence of anxiety among students with weekly class hours exceeding 30 hours	30%
	The percentage of graduate school applicants experiencing sleep disorders due to anxiety about failing the entrance exam	70%
Related to employment pressure	The percentage of students experiencing low mood due to employment concerns	65%
Life and Relationships	The percentage of students experiencing depression due to dormitory conflicts	28%
	The percentage of students who lack exercise and often feel down	30%
Family factors	Prevalence of anxiety among individuals with strained family relationships (higher than among those with harmonious family relationships)	15 percentage points
Social Support and Use of Services	Lack of Social Support Increases Students' Risk of Depression and Anxiety	significantly increased
	Utilization of Psychological Counseling Services Among High-Risk Groups for Depression	4.3%

Data source: Special Census Data from the Ministry of Education (2020–2024), China University Network

Table 1 points to a clear pattern: student mental health is worsening. Twelve percent of students say they feel psychological distress. Some subgroups stand out with even higher rates—first-year students, those from poor families, and students with heavy class loads (over 30 hours per week). What drives these problems? Graduate exam stress, job anxiety, dorm conflicts, and tense family relations. Given that existing mental health services are not fully used, we need to boost students' willingness to seek help and make services easier to reach. Going forward, university mental health plans should zero in on high-risk groups, build a tiered early warning system, and also redesign service models—all to raise students' acceptance and actual use of professional psychological support.

Table 2. Higher Education Mental Health Services: Supply-Demand Data and AI Application

Category	Number of instances/Value	Percentage(%) /Description
Number of students participating in mental health screenings at universities in Jiangxi Province	Over 1.4 million	
Number of full-time and part-time mental health instructors in Jiangxi Province's higher education institutions	1322	
Number of part-time mental health instructors at universities in Jiangxi Province	827	62.56% (of the total number of full-time and part-time faculty)
Average Adoption Rate of AI Mental Health Systems at Undergraduate Institutions in a Survey of 41 Universities Nationwide		52.3
A survey of 41 universities nationwide found the average adoption rate of AI-powered mental health systems in secondary and higher vocational schools		34.7
The ratio of annual AI system investment at public universities to that at private universities	2.9 times (1.9 times higher)	
The increase in student satisfaction with AI systems at public universities compared to private universities		7.8 percentage points higher

Data sources: Relevant research documents from the Jiangxi Provincial Department of Education (2025) and data from a special survey on AI-based mental health services at 41 universities nationwide

In spring 2024, over 1.4 million Jiangxi college students took mental health screenings, says the province's education department. By comparison, the total number of full-time and part-time mental health educators was only 1,322, with part-time educators accounting for 62.56% of the total. This highlights a particularly acute student-to-teacher ratio imbalance in higher education mental health. Given this supply-demand imbalance between the massive student population and limited faculty resources, the application of AI technology appears especially necessary. However, conditional on actual effectiveness data, AI in university mental health education still falls short in two ways: poor scenario adaptation and lopsided resource allocation. Take adoption rates: a 17.6 percentage point gap exists between undergraduate and vocational colleges. Public universities pour money into AI mental health resources, yet that spending has not produced much higher student satisfaction. So there is a deep mismatch between implementation results and resources spent. Against that reality, exploring how AI-enabled mental health services can be implemented and how their ecosystem can grow in higher education has real theoretical and practical value. Conditional on this exploration, it can help fix the supply-demand imbalance and push for higher-quality university mental health services.

3.1. Contextual Adaptation Issues

Under the "Special Action Plan (2023–2025)", mental health education is now a university priority. But AI use in higher education mental health stays fragmented and unsystematic. A Chinese Academy of Educational Sciences survey finds only 34.1% of local undergraduate

institutions have AI assessment tools, mostly off-the-shelf systems. Data integration under 20%, over 60% of universities have data silos—mental health, academic, student affairs data don't connect. Research in Hunan shows AI services in most universities still at basic assessment. AI mental health resources cluster in key universities; ordinary undergraduate institutions—especially higher vocational colleges—get little investment, low coverage, no custom designs for students' varied pressures.

Current AI mental health systems mainly do general emotion regulation and basic counseling. If they miss the full service cycle, they can't precisely meet students' diverse, personalized psychological needs. That limits tech-enabled solutions. Today's college students face heavy workloads, job anxiety, internship adjustment difficulties. Generic AI platforms aren't deeply adapted to these typical campus stress scenarios, so they keep missing students' core needs. Actual usage rates are very low, impact weak. The lesson: to fix poor scenario adaptation, first find key causes and drivers of student stress. Only then can AI mental health systems realign functions with genuine student needs, turning generic tools into precise ones.

More concretely, contextual adaptation fails in three ways. First, language and culture mismatches: most AI chatbots trained on general data can't catch campus slang, academic anxiety talk, or how Chinese students phrase interpersonal issues (e.g., "social fear," "lying flat"). Second, timing mismatches: peak needs happen during exam weeks, job hunting, and at night, but many AI systems run on fixed schedules or batch processing, slowing response. Third, scenario gaps: a student with dorm conflicts needs different conversation strategies than one with academic burnout, but generic systems use the same emotion scripts. Fixing these gaps needs technical retuning plus close work with student affairs and counseling centers to map typical stress scenarios and co-design response paths.

3.2. Implementation Issues

How well AI works in university mental health services hinges on faculty and student acceptance plus their skills. With high adoption barriers and no systematic training, those conditions seriously constrain AI-based service effectiveness. Then a vicious cycle can start: built platforms sit idle, or users with basic skills still hold back.

Faculty first. Most mental health educators lack systematic AI training. So they miss core skills: data analysis, system operation, troubleshooting. What do they actually do? Daily check-ins, handing out questionnaires. Those skill gaps block precise student monitoring and personalized planning. Hence educators grow less willing to use AI tools.

Students, meanwhile. Some AI platforms have clunky workflows and unfriendly interfaces—beyond students' normal habits. Many can't articulate psychological issues or express distress accurately. So they get useless AI responses, lowering continued use. Plus, some see AI mental health services as just chat tools—a skewed view that cuts proactive help-seeking.

These twin barriers—weak faculty proficiency and poor student experience—together stop AI mental health systems from reaching their core potential. Faculty-side limits mean AI-generated risk alerts go unmonitored and unanalyzed, causing many high-risk students to be missed; the AI system becomes a data collector, not a service supporter. Student-side poor experience and cognitive biases block proactive services and real-time support from helping those in need. So usage and engagement stay low, feeding a loop: less use means less accuracy, and less accuracy means even less use. Even with big spending on platform building and advanced AI tech, universities often miss goals. That traps them in high input, low return for tech implementation.

A deeper look shows implementation issues are also organizational. In many universities, IT departments lead AI mental health procurement with little input from counseling staff. So chosen platforms favor tech sophistication (e.g., advanced NLP models) over usability and workflow fit. Counselors find the systems burdensome and go back to paper or spreadsheets.

Also, role ambiguity—“Who’s responsible if an AI fails to flag a suicide risk?”—creates fear and avoidance. Without clear protocols and legal frameworks, faculty and administrators prefer underuse to potential liability. Breaking this cycle needs not just training but governance reforms that assign clear responsibilities and protect frontline staff from undue blame when AI tools are used appropriately yet still miss edge cases.

3.3. Maintenance and Support Issues

AI-powered mental health services need professional maintenance and support to run well. Yet many universities prioritize system development—pouring most resources into procurement and initial deployment—while ignoring ongoing upkeep. With no proper maintenance mechanisms or standardized failure procedures, support is mostly reactive troubleshooting. That reactive stance fails to proactively handle operational risks. So it slows long-term growth of AI-powered mental health services.

Maintenance issues go beyond technical bugs. Data pipelines degrade as student behavior shifts (e.g., new social media, campus Wi-Fi changes, new academic calendars). Model drift happens when training data no longer represent current students, lowering risk prediction accuracy. Without regular retraining and validation, false positives and negatives rise, eroding trust. Also, hardware and software obsolescence cycles often don’t match university budget cycles. A system bought with a one-time grant may become unsupported after two years, but the university has no recurring budget for updates. So some institutions run outdated AI models that no longer meet new data privacy rules. To fix this, embed maintenance and support into the initial implementation plan, with yearly budgets, service level agreements, and cross-department teams (IT, counseling, legal).

4. Pathways to AI-Empowered Ecological Symbiosis

AI empowerment for campus mental health? Not tech replacing people. It means efficiency plus human care. How? Tune the empowerment path around three things: precise service positioning, emotional resonance, and value-driven guidance. That points back to education’s core. Practical challenges with AI-empowered services and value-driven leadership? Build a three-part ecosystem—technology, people, institutional frameworks. This ecosystem bakes precise targeting, emotional resonance, and value-driven guidance into system design—tech as base, people as core, frameworks as support. Conditional on synergy among AI, mental health pros, students, and management systems, AI-enabled services can go from patchy to smooth. Then precision, sustainability, and human warmth all rise.

Table 3. Statistical Results of Xidian University’s AI Mental Health Screening and Monitoring Model

Category	Value	Percentage (%)
Volume of data integrated into the AI mental health monitoring model at Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology	Nearly 100 million	
Accuracy of the AI Mental State Monitoring Model at Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology		93
Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology: AI-screened high-risk students not caught by offline screening	238	

Source: Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology, *2025 Higher Education Mental Health Services Implementation Report*

Take Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology. There, an AI-powered mental health system was built. It ingests close to 100 million records of student activity on campus. The system's mental health monitoring model runs at 93% accuracy. How does it work? It pairs online intelligent early warnings with offline, grid-based screening. As a result, it has identified 238 students in need of extra attention—people who would have been overlooked if only offline channels had been used. What we see here is a successful blend of technology roll-out and human-centered care. This is a real-world case showing what AI-enabled solutions can actually deliver...

4.1. Building a Context-Adaptive Technology System

What do our R&D efforts concentrate on? Two things: services that are precisely tailored to individual needs, plus value-oriented guidance. That focus allows us to deal with context-matching problems and major pain points. The result? AI that suits higher education's requirements and helps students develop psychologically. Take academic stress and job-related anxiety—these core challenges get worked on side-by-side with counseling staff and technical developers.

Once data is integrated, we can spot students' psychological characteristics with accuracy. That step lays down a sturdy foundation for customized services. After that, we tweak AI conversation algorithms and strengthen the ability to recognize emotions. As those improvements take effect, the system's interactions stay in tune with everyday situations and create an emotional connection with students.

Regular feature updates and module adjustments that build positive psychological qualities are done based on what students need. Technological progress marches forward, all while giving top priority to students' psychological development over the long haul. At the same time, information silos across campus systems are torn down, which makes real-time mental health monitoring and flexible intervention possible. That is how the technology remains adaptable and state-of-the-art.



Figure 1. The team's own mini-app

The team's mini-program appears in screenshots below. It uses a range of different modules to promote student mental well-being. Within the mini-program, you will find AI chat, questionnaire tracking, and other features designed to match students' everyday usage patterns. To raise engagement, we have added "Mental Health Coins" and interactive posts. Privacy policies and user agreements are clearly displayed to take care of students' need for privacy protection. With this overall design, the approach fulfills the basic requirements of an adaptive tech system—delivering services precisely and safeguarding privacy. Consequently, AI becomes a better fit for college students' psychological service needs and how they actually use such tools.

4.2. Designing a Shared Human Support Structure

Core values underpin this approach. Roles of AI, counselors, and students get spelled out, plus ways to handle cognitive and competency issues on the spot. The idea: value comes from working together and backing each other up. AI takes routine jobs—data screening, basic emotional guidance, spotting psychological issues, basic emotional communication, data for targeted services. Counselors handle high-risk student interventions, craft personalized plans, and draw on professional know-how for deep emotional work. They also mix ideological/political education with positive psychological guidance, living out a value-based leadership role. Students are users and co-participants: use the platform actively, speak honestly about needs and worries. Surveys and feedback let them fine-tune the system, boosting emotional relevance. Teachers get AI and empathy training; students learn system use and how to seek support. With that training, practical skills rise on both sides, and barriers to these values start to fall.

To make this sustainable, hold interdisciplinary meetings every two weeks with AI developers, counselors, and student reps. These meetings review system performance, discuss tough cases (with privacy protection), and suggest feature tweaks. Example: if counselors notice many students mention "test anxiety" in a specific way, that feedback can directly update the AI's dialogue tree. Also, a joint reward mechanism could work: counselors who actively use AI insights and give feedback earn professional development credits; students who engage constructively with the AI get "mental health coins" for campus wellness activities. That shifts the ecosystem from top-down deployment to a co-evolving community.

4.3. Improve the Supportive Institutional Framework

Core values steer us. So we will set up a broad institutional framework—covering support, management, and oversight. Its job: give long-term, effective support to technology implementation and ecosystem synergy. Value-driven principles need to run through the whole mental health service process. We will also create a routine support system plus a dedicated maintenance team. Mechanisms shaped to each university's own features let us build specialized technical modules and run training for faculty and students. That builds a solid base for targeted services and value-driven leadership. Standardized management systems should be tightened to: strictly limit mental health data collection and use, protect student privacy, sort out responsibilities among AI developers, universities, and faculty, stop technology from crowding out human touch, and ensure timely, effective targeted interventions. An oversight system will come from a supervisory committee—mental health experts, administrators, student reps, and technical specialists. Standards for precise services and value-driven guidance become core evaluation criteria. With regular checks of AI-enabled service effectiveness—using outcomes and student satisfaction—the educational heart of mental health services stays intact.

Beyond these internal frameworks, external partnerships also matter. Universities should work with regional health bureaus, peer institutions, and AI vendors to set shared ethical guidelines and data interoperability standards. A consortium model—where multiple universities pool

anonymized data to train stronger AI models while keeping individual data local—can solve the “small N” problem many single institutions face. Also, government oversight should include regular audits of AI mental health systems, not just for technical performance but for algorithmic fairness (e.g., does the system work less well for students from certain backgrounds). Embedding accountability at multiple levels makes the framework both supportive and regulatory.

Table 4. Higher Education’s AI-Driven Mental Health Ecosystem: A Symbiotic Model

Role	Main Tasks	Action Items
Technical Developer	AI R&D, scenario adaptation, data security	Make adaptive AI systems, tune systems, protect data privacy and security, run routine tech upgrades and optimizations
Users	State needs, use system actively, give feedback for improvement	Honestly say psychological needs, actively use AI tools, give feedback on user experience and problems, join system optimization and design
Implementer	Rollout, staff/student training, service launch	Set up collaboration mechanisms, run competency training for staff and students, push AI-human service synergy, boost campus operational support
Institutional Support	Policy making, resource coordination, regulatory rules	Bring in targeted policies and implementation rules, coordinate resource allocation and balanced growth, set up industry oversight and evaluation systems

5. Conclusions and Outlook

AI tech gives higher education mental health services a new empowerment route. But in practice, scenario adaptation, operational roll-out, maintenance during deployment, and ecosystem mismatches all heavily cut that empowerment’s impact. So what really makes AI-powered empowerment work? It’s not about machines taking over from people. The real point is to solve students’ personal mental health struggles by putting things into practice. Let’s go back to the basics: serve students, and build an ecosystem that supports comprehensive, sustainable university mental health services. That road can lead us to an intelligent service model—one that blends tech-adapted implementation with cooperation across many different stakeholders. Among all that, solving operational implementation challenges is what really unlocks AI’s full potential. Moving forward, universities need to stick with a people-first rule, focusing on two things: tech implementation and ecosystem symbiosis. Want to break implementation bottlenecks? Then put optimizing AI system design and training faculty and students at the top of the list. Technical frameworks and institutional safeguards also need steady upgrades, and that will push AI deeper into university mental health services. As AI tech matures and institutional frameworks get better, AI-enabled initiatives will move from scattered apps to a real, organized ecosystem—giving new life to university mental health services. Given that trend, universities should step up exchange and cooperation, explore practical paths for AI-enabled initiatives across different institution types and levels, collect experiences that can be replicated and scaled, and push for high-quality development of mental health services in China’s higher education sector. A few research directions stand out for the future. First, we need long-term studies that follow the same group of students over several years. We want to know: do AI-augmented services actually lead to lasting mental health gains, or are they just cutting risks for a short while? Second, cross-cultural comparisons (say, China

versus Western countries) could show us what works where. Third, the economics side hasn't been studied much: what's the return on investment for AI mental health ecosystems—like lower dropout rates, better grades, less counselor burnout? Fourth, as generative AI grows, ethical issues around deep emotional mimicry and vulnerable students' over-reliance need urgent attention. If universities, researchers, and policymakers work together, they can keep the shift from implementation to symbiotic ecosystem rooted in student welfare, not tech for tech's sake. Only then will AI become a true partner—not a replacement—in campus mental health.

Fund Project

Wuhan Business University College Students' Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program (No. 202511654104)

References

- [1] Chen, C. (2025). Exploration of artificial intelligence technology in personalized mental health education for college students. *China University Student Online*, 5, 1–8.
- [2] Chen, C. (2025). Research on the digital transformation of mental health education for college students from the perspective of new quality productive forces. *China University Student Online*, 5, 1–10.
- [3] Ministry of Education of China. (2023). *Special action plan for comprehensively strengthening and improving students' mental health work in the new era (2023–2025)*. Ministry of Education.
- [4] China Education Daily. (2025, June 7). Technology decodes life, compassion safeguards the mind. China Education News Network.
- [5] Shaanxi Daily. (2025, December 26). Digital empowerment and collaborative efforts: Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology builds a tight mental health safety net for graduate students.
- [6] Ministry of Education of China. (2020). *Response to Proposal No. 6612 of the Third Session of the 13th National People's Congress*. Ministry of Education.
- [7] Department of Education of Jiangxi Province. (2025). *Response to Proposal No. 0311 of the Third Session of the 13th CPPCC Jiangxi Provincial Committee*. Jiangxi Provincial Department of Education.
- [8] Yu, G. L., & Zhang, Z. (2023). Digital technology empowering school mental health services. *Tsinghua Journal of Education*, 44(1), 19–29.
- [9] Xi'an University of Electronic Science and Technology. (2025, September 19). AI empowerment + multi-stakeholder collaboration: Building a one-stop smart mental health defense line for student communities. Ideological and Political Work Network for Universities.
- [10] Zhao, X. S., & Xiong, W. R. (2024). AI-based innovative school mental health services and their challenges. *Mental Health Education in Primary and Secondary Schools*, 9, 4–9.
- [11] Zhou, M. Y., & Fang, F. (2025). Application of large model-based intelligent agents in college student psychological counseling. *Advances in Psychological Science*, 33(5), 689–701.
- [12] Wang, X., & Li, M. (2024). Research and development of mental health service robots for universities integrating multimodal technologies. *Information Technology and Informatization*, 5, 187–190.
- [13] Li, Y. F. (2025). Digital intelligent mental health care: An examination of college students' mental health status and digital care pathways. Retrieved April 5, 2026.

- [14] Fang, Y., et al. (2025). *2024 survey report on the mental health status of college students*. Retrieved April 5, 2026.
- [15] Liu, C. E. (2026, February 3). AI mental health services should be extended to non-key universities. Hunan Education News Network.